◆**Research Paper**

Groundwater Quality Assessment using Water Quality Index (WQI) in Liaquatabad Town, Karachi, Pakistan

Adnan Khan*, Yusra Rehman

Department of Geology, University of Karachi, 75270, Karachi, Pakistan

*Email: adkhan@uok.edu.pk

Abstract: The aim of present study is to evaluate the groundwater quality of Liaquatabad Town using water quality index (WQI) measured through physico-chemical parameters. For this purpose, groundwater samples $(n = 31)$ were randomly collected through boring wells from various sites of study area. Data revealed that TDS content of groundwater is very high (mean: 1045.55 mg/L) which is double the WHO limit for drinking purpose. Elevated concentration of Ca, Na, Cl, and $HCO₃$ is reported in 85%, 33%, 40% and 70% wells respectively which are exceeding the corresponding WHO guideline for drinking purpose. Very high concentration of these major ions (Ca, Na, Cl, and $HCO₃$) is indicative of sewage mixing with groundwater in Liaquatabad. The calculated value of water quality index (WQI $= 66.1$) shows that groundwater in Liaquatabad town is not suitable for drinking purpose but can be used for industrial and irrigation purpose.

Keywords: Groundwater, quality, water quality index, Liaquatabad town.

Introduction

Water is an essential component of all forms of life; no organism can survive without water. Daily demand of drinking water of a man is normally 7% of his body weight (Iqbal and Gupta, 2009) but this water can become a threat to the continuation of life if it gets polluted with harmful or toxic substance (Abbasi and Vinithan, 1999). Water is mainly obtained from two sources, i.e. surface water which includes rivers, canals, fresh water lakes, streams etc. and ground water like well and borehole water (McMurry and Fay, 2004). In the present scenario, water demand is increasing all over the world due to rapid growth

in population and more than half of the world depends on groundwater for survival (UNESCO, 1992). In Asia alone, about 1 billion people are directly relying on groundwater source (Foster, 1995). According to World Health Organization, about 80% of all the diseases in human beings are caused by water (Al-Hadithi, 2012). Usually the groundwater is considered less polluted as compared to the surface water, due to the less exposure to the external environment (Iqbal and Gupta, 2009). However, the modern civilization, industrialization, urbanization, increase in population and improper waste management are causing the degradation of groundwater quality (Agarwal, 2009).

Karachi is the biggest and densely populated (more than 20 million population) city of Pakistan which is facing the acute shortage of municipally supplied water since many decades. It is the main reason that residents of Karachi are rapidly switching over to the groundwater for drinking and other domestic uses. Despite of such drastic switch over no detailed work has been carried out so far to assess the status of groundwater quality in Karachi. Thus, present study is pilot work which is aimed at screening the groundwater for its quality to ensure its potential use in one of the core residential areas. Liaquatabad town is selected for this purpose due to the fact that it is densely populated town where middle to lower middle class of the society is settled since birth of Pakistan. Other objective of this study is to apply Water quality index (WQI) method to classify the groundwater of Liaquatabad town for various purposes.

Study Area

Liaquatabad Town is located in the central district of Karachi which lies between latitude 24.8880° -24.9223° N and longitude 67.0177° -67.0644° E (Fig. 1). Study area is mainly a residential occupancy which is spread over 11.28 sq. km area with dense population of 985,581 (KSMP, 2007). Liaquatabad Town has a middle class and lower middle class population. Liaquatabad and Nazimabad are the two major areas of the town and both were components of the defunct district central. Liaquatabad Town consists of 11 union councils including Rizvia Society, Firdous Colony, Super Market, Dak Khana, Qasimabad, Bandhani Colony, Sharifabad, Commercial Area, Mujahid Colony, Nazimabad, and Abbasi Shaheed.

One of the major problems is the leakage of sewage in different localities of Liaquatabad. The residents of Nazimabad are of the view that there is no water problem in their area and leakage of sewage is rare. However other parts of the study area are facing shortage of municipally supplied drinking water. Liaquatabad town is bordered by Lyari River in the South and Orangi nala in the west. Similarly, Gujjar nala is passing through the center of Liaquatabad town $(Fig. 1)$.

Fig. 1 Geological map of Liaquatabad town, Karachi

Geologically, this town is covered completely with Recent to Sub Recent alluvium. Subsurface rocks belong to Nari and Gaj formations of Oligocene and Miocene age respectively. Nari Formation consists of sandstone with interbedded shales and subordinate limestones (Pithawalla and Martin- Kaye, 1946) which is overlain by the Gaj Formation having 50 m thick bed in the Karachi region comprising predominantly soft to hard sandstone and argillaceous limestone (Shah, 2009). Based on the thickness of Gaj Formation it is assummed that most of the wells (depth range: $16-46$ m) of study area are tapping groundwater from the aquifers of Gaj Formation. Similarly rest of the wells are installed in the Nari Formation aquifers.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Thirty-one groundwater samples were randomly collected from depth range of 16-78 meter. Groundwater was electrically pumped for 2-3 minutes to get representative sample from the aquifer. Location of each well was marked with the help of Global Positioning System (GPS) on the Google earth image (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2 Sample Location map using Google Earth Image

Groundwater samples were collected in plastic bottles of 1.5-liter capacity for physicochemical analysis. Bottles were properly washed and rinsed thoroughly with distilled water and then with groundwater at each sampling site. For nitrate determination groundwater samples were collected in bottles of 100 ml capacity in which one ml boric acid solution was injected through sterile syringe to cease any further reaction.

Groundwater Analysis

The pH and total dissolved solids (TDS) of collected samples $(n = 31)$ were measured with the glass electrode pH meter (Adwa AD 111) and EC meter (Adwa AD 330) respectively. Concentration of sodium and potassium were determined by using flame photometer (Model No. JENWAY PFP7). Sulphate content was tested by gravimetric method, while bicarbonate by titration and chloride was estimated by argentometric titration method. The method used for the analysis of calcium was EDTA titration Standard Method (1992). Magnesium was estimated as the difference between hardness and calcium with the help of standard formula. Groundwater samples preserved in the boric acid were analyzed to determine the nitrate concentration by cadmium reduction method (HACH-8171) on spectrophotometer.

Water Quality Index (WQI)

WQI is one of the most effective tools to communicate information on the quality of water to the concerned citizens and policy makers (Yisa and Jimoh, 2010). It was first proposed by Horton (1965) which was later on generalized by Brown et al. in 1970. According to Bharti, 2011, WQI is a single number that rates the water quality by aggregating several water quality parameters and usually the higher score alludes to the better quality (Excellent, Good) and the lower score to degraded quality (Bad, Poor). Weighted arithmetic index method of WOI proposed by Brown et al (1970) was applied to evaluate the groundwater quality status Liaquatabad Town. Physicochemical parameters including pH, TDS and major cations (Ca, Mg, Na and K) and anions (HCO₃, Cl, SO₄ and NO₃) were used to calculate WQI of groundwater in Liaquatabad Town. WQI is calculated using following formula.

$$
WQI = \frac{\sum Q_n W_n}{\sum W_n}
$$

Where,

 Q_n is the quality rating of nth water quality parameter,

 W_n is the unit weight of nth water quality parameter.

The quality rating Q_n is calculated using the equation

$$
Q_n = 100 \left[\frac{(V_n - V_i)}{(V_s - V_i)} \right]
$$

Where,

 V_n is the actual amount of nth parameter present,

 V_i is the ideal value of the parameter, $V_i = 0$, except for pH ($V_i = 7$)

 V_s is the standard permissible value for the nth water quality parameter.

Unit weight (W_n) is calculated using the formula

$$
W_n = \frac{1s}{V_n}
$$

Where, k is the constant of proportionality and it is calculated using the equation

$$
k = \frac{1}{\sum V_s = 1, 2, \dots, n}
$$

Results and Discussions Physicochemical Parameters

Results of physicochemical characteristics of groundwater samples $(n=31)$ have been summarized in Table 1. Total dissolved salt content (TDS) is found to be very high (mean=1045 mg/L) violating the WHO permissible limit (500 mg/L) in all the collected samples where it ranges between 508-3710 mg/L (Table 1). About one third of total collected samples even deviate from Pakistani guideline of TDS (1000 mg/L) for drinking purpose. The pH fluctuates between neutral to alkaline (range $7.1-8.0$) which is within the WHO guideline $(6.5-8.5)$ for drinking water.

Calcium content showed wide variation where it spans between $42-156$ mg/L. Except a few, all the wells showed excessive Ca concentration against WHO reference value of 75 mg/L (Table 1). On the other hand, Mg content is found to be within its corresponding limit (range: $59-176.3$ mg/L; mean: 93.5 mg/L) except one sample which contains elevated concentration of Mg (176.3 mg/L) . This sample is also high in its TDS, Na, K and Cl contents (Table 1) which indicates sewage mixing with groundwater (Cole et al., 2004). It is widely believed that Mg occurs in relatively less quantity as compared to Ca in groundwater (Davis and De Wiest, 1966). However, one-third of collected samples show relatively high Mg concentration over Ca which depicts that the groundwater is interacting with dolomitic rocks and clays. Since the subsurface rocks are dominated by clays and limestone, the source of Mg in groundwater is assumed to be these lithic layers.

Sodium and Chloride distribution is very heterogeneous in the groundwater of Liaquatabad town where both varied in the range of 59-176 mg/L and 53-1049 mg/L respectively. About one third of total collected samples exceeded WHO permissible limit for Na and Cl concentration (Table 1). The mean concentration of both Na and Cl is found to jump over the WHO allowed values of 200 and 250 mg/L respectively. Interestingly all the samples high in Na are also high in Cl content except 3 wells (Table 1). It indicates that both these elements are released by the common source. The natural source of these two ions is halite dissolution, water-rock interactions, saline seeps, and minor atmospheric contributions (Panno et al., 2006).

In urban areas, human activities including road salt, effluent from industrial facilities, leachate from municipal landfills, effluent from private and municipal septic systems, and some agricultural chemicals are also responsible for the high Na and Cl content in the groundwater (Mason et al. 1999; Buttle and Labadia, 1999; Naftz and Spangler, 1994). Since Karachi is very densely populated city which developed infrastructure including highways and roads, the major contribution of these ions seems to be the human activities compared to natural source. Besides, low rate of rainfall and evaporation due to semi-urban climate are further concentrating this salt into the groundwater system of Karachi city.

A wide interval of bicarbonate concentration (range: $250-550$ mg/L) occurred in the groundwater where about two third of total collected samples $(n=31)$ are affected by its elevated content (Table 1). Generally, bicarbonate occurs as major anion in the groundwater system. However relatively high bicarbonate (mean: 338 mg/L) coupled with elevated Ca (mean: $99 \, \text{mg/L}$) in the groundwater of Liaquatabad town suggests the interaction of water with limestone units occurring as part of Nari and Gaj formations. Calcite solubility is governed by pH i.e. the lower the pH (more hydrogen ions) the more calcite will dissolve (Pokrovsky et al., 2009). Similar is true about groundwater of study area where pH of almost all groundwater wells is found to be < 8 (Table 1).

Mean concentration of sulphate is found to be 97.68 mg/L which is within the admissible limit of WHO (250 mg/L) for drinking water. However, distribution pattern of Sulphate is highly uneven in the study area where it ranges between $16-252$ mg/L. This heterogeneous distribution of sulphate suggests that multiple factors are influencing its concentration in study area. In industrialized countries sulphate concentration from atmospheric deposition varies between 0-6 parts per thousand (Krouse and Mayer, 2000; Rock and Mayer, 2002; Mayer, 2005). It is consistent with the fact that where enormous amount of sulfur is released in the atmosphere through industrial emission and fuel burning in Karachi city.

Similarly, groundwater revealed showed uneven distribution pattern of nitrate content (range: 2.4-19.1, mean: 8.54). About two third of total collected samples showed $NO₃$ concentration ≤ 10 mg/L while others exceeded the permissible guideline of WHO for drinking purpose. It indicates that either nitrate production in low in the aquifers or nitrate reducing bacteria are active in the groundwater of study area. Latter is supported by exceptionally high bicarbonate content suggesting that organic matter decomposition is likely due to nitrate reduction in the groundwater of study area (Davis and De Wiest, 1966).

Water Quality Index

Weighted arithmetic index method of WOI is used to assess the quality of groundwater in Liaquatabad town. It is a simple method that aims at giving a single value to water quality

Table 1 Physico‐chemicals parameters determined in groundwater of Liaquatabad Town

by translating the list of parameters and their concentrations present in a sample into a single value.

This single value in turn provides an extensive interpretation of the quality of water and its suitability for various purposes like drinking, irrigation, industrial etc. (Abbasi and Abbasi, 2012). First step for calculating WQI of groundwater is to estimate the quality rating of each parameter using the formula: $Q_n=100^*[(V_n - V_i)/(V_s - V_i)]$. If quality rating $Q_n = 0$ means complete absence of pollutants, while $0 < Q_n < 100$ implies that, the pollutants are within the prescribed standard and when $Q_n > 100$ implies that, the pollutants are above the standards (Gungoa, 2016). In collected samples Q_n of TDS (209.11), Ca (131.87), Na (110.45), HCO₃ (112.69) and Cl (137.20) are above 100 (Table 2) which indicates that these are the main components responsible for deteriorating the water quality.

Parameters	pH	TDS	Ca	Mg	Na	$\mathbf K$	HCO ₃	CI	SO ₄	NO ₃
	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Observed Value (V_n)	7.52	1045.55	98.9	93.52	220.9	8.032	338.06	342.99	97.68	8.54
WHO limits (V_s)	8.5	500	75	150	200	12	300	250	250	10
Ideal value (V_i)	$\overline{7}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
Q_n	34.67	209.11	131.87	62.35	110.45	66.93	112.69	137.20	39.07	85.40
$W_n = k / V_n$	0.35	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.25	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.29
$Q_n^*W_n$	12.02	1.23	5.18	1.22	1.63	16.44	1.11	1.622	0.46	25.17
$\Sigma\,\mathrm{Q}_\mathrm{n}$ W $_\mathrm{n}$ Water Quality Index = $= 66.1$ Σ W _n										

Table 2 Water Quality Index of collected groundwater samples from Liaquatabad Town

Second step is to calculate the unit weight (W_n) of all the physicochemical parameters with the help of formula: $W_n= k / V_n$, which is shown in Table 2. These unit-weights transformed all the concerned parameters of different units and dimensions to a common scale (Bora and Goswami, 2016).

WQI	Status	Possible usages			
$0 - 25$	Excellent	Drinking, Irrigation and Industrial			
$25 - 50$	Good	Domestic, Irrigation and Industrial			
$51 - 75$	Fair	Irrigation and Industrial			
$76 - 100$	Poor	Irrigation			
$101 - 150$	Very Poor	Restricted use for Irrigation			
Above 150	Unfit for Drinking	Proper treatment required before use.			

Table 3 WQI range, status and possible usage of the water sample (Brown et al. 1972)

Calculated value of water quality index $(WQI=66.1)$ by Brown et al (1972) categorizes the groundwater of Liaquatabad town as fair quality water which lies in the range of 51-75 (Table 3). Weighted arithmetic index method of WOI reveal that the groundwater of study area is not suitable for drinking purpose. However, it can use for the industrial and irrigation purpose.

Conclusion

Groundwater quality of Liaquatabad town is not suitable for drinking purpose. The main outliers in the wells of Liaquatabad town deteriorating the water quality are TDS, Ca, Na, $HCO₃$ and Cl. High content of TDS, Na and Cl indicates the mixing of sewage water which may infiltrate from the river channels and nala surrounding the study area. Calculated value of WQI revealed that the groundwater is grouped into fair category of water quality which indicates that it is unfit for drinking purpose but suitable for the irrigation and industrial use.

References

- Abbasi, S. A., Vinithan, S. (1999). Water quality in and around an industrialized suburb of Pondicherry. *Indian Journal of Environmental Health*, **41**, 253‐263.
- Abbasi, T., Abbasi, S. A. (2012). Water quality indices. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 362.
- Agrawal, R., (2009). Study of physico-chemical parameters of groundwater quality of dudu town in Rajasthan. *RASAYAN J. Chem.*, **2**, 969‐971.
- Al-Hadithi, M., (2012). Application of water quality index to assess suitability of groundwater quality for drinking purposes in Ratmao-Pathri Rao watershed, Haridwar District, India. *American Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research,* **3**, 395‐402.
- Bharti, K. N. (2011). Water quality indices used for surface water vulnerability assessment. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, **2**, 154‐173.
- Brown, R. M., McClelland, N. I., Deininger, R. A., Tozer, R. G. (1970). A water quality index: Do we dare? *Water & Sewage Works*, **117**, 339–343.
- Brown R. M., McClelland N. I., Deininger R. A., O'Connor M. F. (1972). A water quality indexcrashing the physiological barrier. *Indic. Environ. Qual.*, 1, 173-182.
- Buttle, J. M., C. F. Labadia. (1999). Deicing salt accumulation and loss in highway snowbanks. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, **28**, 155‐164.
- Cole, A. A., Smecker-Hane, T. A., Tolstoy, E., Bosler, T. L., Gallagher, J. S. (2004). The effects of age on red giant metallicities derived from the near-infrared CaII triplet. Monthly *Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **347**, 367‐379.
- Davis, S. N., De Wiest, R. J. M. (1966). Hydrogeology, *John Wiley and Sons*: New York, 463.
- Foster, S. S. D. (1995). Groundwater for development—an overview of quality constraints. In: Nash, H., McCall, G.J.H. (Eds.), Groundwater Quality. *17th Special Report. Chapman and Hall, London United*, 1–3.
- Gungoa, V. (2016). Use of Water Quality Indices for Water Quality Assessment in Small Island State: A Case Study in the Northern Aquifer of Mauritius. International Iournal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research, **30**, 142‐150
- Horton, R. K. (1965). An index number system for rating water quality. *Journal-Water Pollution Control Federation*. **37**, 300‐305.
- Iqbal, M. A., Gupta, S. G. (2009). Studies on heavy metal ion pollution of groundwater sources as an effect of municipal solid waste dumping. *African Journal of Basic and applied Sciences*, **1**, 117‐122.
- Karachi Strategic Development Plan-2020 (KSDP-2020), (2007). Plan by Master Plan Group of Offices (MPGO) – CDGK.
- Mason, C. F., S. A. Norton, I. J. Fernandez, L. E. Katz. (1999). Deconstruction of the chemical effects of road salt on stream water chemistry. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, **28**, 82‐91.
- McMurry, J., Fay, R. C. (2004). Hydrogen, Oxygen and Water. In: K. P. Hamann (ed.), McMurry Fay Chemistry. Pearson Education, New Jersey, 4th Edition, 575-599.
- Naftz, D. L., L. E. Spangler (1994). Salinity increases in the Navajo Aquifer in southeastern Utah. *Water Resources Bulletin*, **30**, 1119‐1135.
- Panno, S. V., Hackley, K. C., Hwang, H. H., Greenberg, S. E., Krapac, I. G., Landsberger, S., O'Kelly, D. J. (2006). Source identification of sodium and chloride in natural waters: Preliminary results. *Ground Water*, **44**, 176‐187.
- Pokrovsky, O. S., Golubev, S. V., Schott, *i.*, Castillo, A. (2009). Calcite, dolomite and magnesite dissolution kinetics in aqueous solutions at acid to circumneutral pH, 25 to 150 °C and 1 to 55 atm. pCO_2 : New constraints on CO_2 sequestration in sedimentary basins. *Chemical Geology*, 265, 20-32.
- Pithawala, M. B., Martin-Kaye, P. (1946). Geology and Geography of Karachi and its neighborhood, 79.
- Shah, S. M. I. (2009). Stratigraphy of Pakistan, Geological Survey of Pakistan. GSP Memoirs, 22, 381.

UNESCO, (1992). Groundwater UNESCO Environmental and development, briefs No. 2, 14.

- World Health Organization, (2008). Guidelines for drinking-Water quality, Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization, (2011). Guidelines for drinking water quality. World Health Organization Geneva, 4th edition, Recommendations, 1-4.
- Yisa, J., Jimoh, T. (2010). Analytical Studies on Water Quality Index of River Landzu. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, **7**, 453‐458.

Journal Website: http://ijgsw.comze.com/ You can submit your paper to email: Jichao@email.com Or IJGSW@mail.com